From:
To: [redacted]ds Interchange
Subject: Response to Letter 24/1/20
Date: 07 February 2020 18:09:26

Dear Mr O'Hanlon,

Re: Letter from Secretary of State 24/1/20

Could I please add further comments?

1) The Four Ashes company are displaying ambiguity about building the rail hub by their legal focus on the distinction between "must" and "should ". May I suggest this is a sufficient basis for the secretary to throw out the whole proposal?

2) I am a member of the Greensforge sailing club and sail there regularly.
May I comment that the club has insufficient funds to pay for a wind tunnel test.
If the Four ashes company pay for it, I would expect there to be a bias toward the company. I say this because they have "form": They employed a sailing/wind expert whose subsequent comments on sailing and wind on Calf Heath were all to deny that large buildings next to water would affect air flow.
May I suggest that the physical rules of airflow over buildings and the known prevailing wind direction are sufficient for the Secretary to make a scientifically accurate decision.
3) Please see below a recent picture (Jan 2020) looking South from the sailing clubhouse. Please note the dark solid earth bunds created by the quarry owners. These should not be present but the solidity of these already obstruct airflow at dinghy level from the prevailing wind direction. It is not primarily the trees. The warehouses would be roughly where the bunds are and much higher than the trees. They will block wind and create dangerous shear effects. Please note the quarry owner has links to the Four ashes company. I believe their license does not permit creation of earth mounds this substantial but as the photograph shows they exist near our pond.

Yours Faithfully,
Dr David Morrice

Sent from my iPhone